## POSTED ON WEB SITE

## THIS DECISION IS NOT FOR PUBLICATION OR CITATION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re

Case No. 06-10388-A-7
DC No. UST-1
PAUL D. MEINERT

FINDINGS OF FACT AND

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW RE
Debtor. U.S. TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR
DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO
/ 11 U.S.C. § 109(h)

A hearing was held June 21, 2006, on the motion of the United States Trustee to dismiss the chapter 7 case of Paul D. Meinert. Following the hearing, the court took the matter under submission. This memorandum contains findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52. This is a core proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2)(A) and (O).

Paul D. Meinert lives in Ridgecrest, California. Ridgecrest is more than 100 miles from Bakersfield, California. Mr. Meinert's attorney's office is located in Bakersfield, as are the meeting room for the United States Trustee and the bankruptcy courtroom. Mr. Meinert works for his brother as a salesperson. He decided to file chapter 7 because his wages had been garnished, and his brother was required to withhold funds from

1 2

his wage payments. He went to Bakersfield on April 3, 2006, and met with his bankruptcy lawyer. Also on April 3, 2006, Mr.

Meinert contacted Consumer Credit Counseling of Kern and Tulare

Counties ("CCC") and requested credit counseling. CCC, on April

3, gave Mr. Meinert blank financial forms to complete and

instructed him to fill them out and return them to CCC with his

payment of \$45. On April 4, 2006, the bankruptcy petition was

filed. CCC received the completed forms along with his money

order for \$45 on April 6, 2006. Also on April 6, CCC contacted

Mr. Meinert by telephone and gave him a telephonic appointment

for credit counseling on April 10. The credit counseling

appointment took place by telephone on April 10. Upon completion

of the counseling on April 10, CCC sent a certificate of

counseling to Mr. Meinert's attorney, who filed it with the

bankruptcy court.

## The Credit Counseling Requirement.

Bankruptcy Code § 109(h) was added by the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 ("BAPCPA"). It provides as follows:

"(h) (1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), and notwithstanding any other provision of this section, an individual may not be a debtor under this title unless such individual has, during the 180-day period preceding the date of filing of the petition by such individual, received from an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency . . an individual or group briefing (including a briefing conducted by telephone or on the Internet) that outlined the opportunities for available credit counseling and assisted such individual in performing a related budget analysis.

. . .

(3)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the requirements of paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a debtor who submits to the court a certification that -

- (i) describes exigent circumstances that merit a waiver of the requirements of paragraph (1);
- (ii) states that the debtor requested credit counseling services from an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency, but was unable to obtain the services referred to in paragraph (1) during the 5-day period beginning on the date on which the debtor made that request; and
  - (iii) is satisfactory to the court.
- (B)With respect to a debtor, an exemption under subparagraph (A) shall cease to apply to that debtor on the date on which the debtor meets the requirements of paragraph (1), but in no case may the exemption apply to that debtor after the date that is 30 days after the debtor files a petition, except that the court, for cause, may order an additional 15 days."

Numerous bankruptcy court decisions have discussed § 109(h). By adding § 109(h), Congress intended that individuals filing bankruptcy should first obtain a briefing from a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency that outlines available credit counseling and assists the individual to analyze his or her budget.

Meinert did not receive his credit counseling briefing prior to filing his bankruptcy case. Therefore, he is not eligible to be a debtor unless the exception of § 109(h)(3) applies.

Are there exigent circumstances that merit a waiver? Mr. Meinert checked the box on his petition requesting a waiver of the requirement due to exigent circumstances. However, he failed to attached a "certification" describing those circumstances. His declaration in response to the United States Trustee's motion to dismiss states that he needed to file bankruptcy because his wages were being garnished. This is an exigent circumstance. The declaration, despite not being filed with the petition as the

Interim Rules require, is "satisfactory to the court." Interim Rule 1007(b)(3) and (c) requires an individual debtor to file the Certificate of Credit Counseling or a request for determination of an exemption with the petition in a voluntary case. Here, the certification was filed not with the petition, but as a response to the United States Trustee's Motion to Dismiss.

Mr. Meinert requested credit counseling on April 3<sup>rd</sup>.

However, the credit counseling agency on April 3<sup>rd</sup> gave him forms to fill out. Mr. Meinert then went back to Ridgecrest, filled out the forms, and mailed them to the credit counseling agency.

CCC received the completed forms and his check by April 6<sup>th</sup>. On April 6<sup>th</sup>, CCC telephoned him, and an appointment was scheduled for April 10<sup>th</sup>, a Monday.

How should time be counted? Section 109(h)(3)(A)(ii) requires the debtor to have requested credit counseling but to have been unable to obtain credit counseling "during the 5-day period beginning on the date on which the debtor made that request . . ." Counting the date of making the request as the first day (April 3<sup>rd</sup>), the fifth day is April 7<sup>th</sup>, a Friday. On the other hand, if the court counts time as required by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9006(a), the result is different. Under that rule, "the day of the act, event, or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Interim Bankruptcy Rules have been promulgated as amendments to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California has, by general order, adopted the Interim Bankruptcy Rules as recommended by the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States. See, General Order 05-04.

included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday . . ." If the first day (April  $3^{\rm rd}$ ) is not included, then the fifth day is April  $8^{\rm th}$ , which is a Saturday, and the applicable five day period would not conclude until April  $10^{\rm th}$ .

The statute is, at least in this respect, straightforward. It requires that the five day period be calculated "beginning on the date on which the debtor made" the request for credit counseling. Thus, the fifth day was April 7<sup>th</sup>. This was a Friday. Mr. Meinert was unable to obtain credit counseling by April 7<sup>th</sup>. He was not able to obtain it until April 10<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Meinert did his best to satisfy the requirements of § 109(h). Katy Hudson, who is President of CCC, acknowledges that Mr. Meinert requested credit counseling on April 3<sup>rd</sup>. Ms. Hudson's declaration and Mr. Meinert's declaration differ in that Mr. Meinert says that he paid CCC on April 3<sup>rd</sup>, while Ms. Hudson states that he did not pay until April 6<sup>th</sup>. However, the court is not persuaded that the difference between Mr. Meinert's testimony and Ms. Hudson's testimony is relevant. This is because they both agree that he requested credit counseling on April 3<sup>rd</sup>. The statute does not say anything about when the prospective debtor pays the credit counseling agency or when the prospective debtor fills out the forms for the credit counseling agency. The statute refers only to the date of the request. Mr. Meinert obtained credit counseling as soon as he could.

Mr. Meinert has satisfied the requirements of  $\S$  109(h)(3)(A)(ii).

Therefore, the motion of the United States Trustee will be

denied. Counsel for the debtor shall submit an appropriate form of order. DATED: July 18, 2006. United States Bankruptcy Court